### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

# System and Method for Logical Modeling of Distributed Computer Systems

Inventor(s):
Steven Levi
Galen Hunt
Aamer Hydrie
Jakob Rehof
Bassam Tabbara
Mark VanAntwerp
Robert Welland

#### **TECHNICAL FIELD**

This invention relates to distributed computer systems, such as Websites and Internet-based Services. More particularly, this invention pertains to a way to model an application for a distributed computer system in a scale-invariant manner that is abstracted from the underlying physical configuration.

#### **BACKGROUND**

It is no secret that Internet usage has exploded over the past few years and continues to grow rapidly. People have become very comfortable with many services offered on the World Wide Web (or simply "Web"), such as electronic mail, online shopping, gathering news and information, listening to music, viewing video clips, looking for jobs, and so forth. To keep pace with the growing demand for Internet-based services, there has been tremendous growth in the computer systems dedicated to hosting Websites, providing backend services for those sites, and storing data associated with the sites.

One type of distributed computer system is an Internet data center (IDC), which is a specifically designed complex that houses many computers used for hosting Internet-based services. IDCs, which also go by the names "webfarms" and "server farms", typically house hundreds to thousands of computers in climate-controlled, physically secure buildings. These computers are interconnected to run one or more programs supporting one or more Internet services or Websites. IDCs provide reliable Internet access, reliable power supplies, and a secure operating environment.

Fig. 1 shows an Internet data center 100. It has many server computers 102 arranged in a specially constructed room. The computers are general-purpose

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

computers, typically configured as servers. An Internet data center may be constructed to house a single site for a single entity (e.g., a data center for Yahoo! or MSN), or to accommodate multiple sites for multiple entities (e.g., an Exodus center that host sites for multiple companies).

The IDC 100 is illustrated with three entities that share the computer resources: entity A, entity B, and entity C. These entities represent various companies that want a presence on the Web. The IDC 100 has a pool of additional computers 104 that may be used by the entities at times of heavy traffic. For example, an entity engaged in online retailing may experience significantly more demand during the Christmas season. The additional computers give the IDC flexibility to meet this demand.

While there are often many computers, an Internet service or Website may only run a few programs. For instance, one Website may have 2000-3000 computers but only 10-20 distinct software components. Computers may be added daily to provide scalability as the Website receives increasingly more visitors, but the underlying programs change less frequently. Rather, there are simply more computers running the same software in parallel to accommodate the increased volume of visitors.

Today, there is no conventional way to architect Internet Services in a way that abstracts the functionality of the Service from the underlying physical implementation. Little thought has gone into how to describe a complete Internet Service in any manner, let alone a scale-invariant manner. At best, Internet Service operators might draft a document that essentially shows each and every computer, software program, storage device, and communication link in the center as of a specific time and date. The downside with such physical schematics is, of

2

Lee & Haves, PLLC

course, that the document is always out of date and hence, it is of limited usefulness as a management tool. Furthermore, while a human may understand such a document, it holds no meaning to a computer.

#### **SUMMARY**

A modeling system permits developers to architect distributed computer applications, such as Internet Services or Websites, in an abstract manner. The modeling system defines a set of components that represent functional units of the applications that will eventually be physically implemented by one or more computers and one or more software programs executing on the computers.

In the described implementation, the modeling system defines several model components: a module, a port, and a wire; and a set of model extensions including, but not limited to: a store, an event source, an event sink, and an event wire.

The module is the basic functional unit and represents a container of behavior that may be implemented by one or more computers running one or more software programs. For instance, in the context of a Website, one module might represent a front end that renders HTML pages, another module might represent a login database, and another module might represent a mailbox program. A port is a service access point for the module. All communications into and out of the module goes through a port. A wire is the logical binding that defines an allowed communication route between two ports.

While the model consists of the three basic components described above (namely modules, ports, and wires), the model can be augmented with numerous extensions, specializations of the basic components. For example, a store is a

basic unit of storage and a specialization of the module. A store represents a logical amount of storage, which may be implemented by any number of physical disks or other storage media. Like the module, the store represents behavior, in the case the ability to save and retrieve data. Also like the module, the store can communicate with other modules and stores through ports and wires. A store differs from a module in that it is labeled with additional attributes such as the amount of storage required, required access speed, or a minimum number of outstanding storage requests. The store extends the model by adding a specialized type of module with additional semantic information.

The model can be further augmented with ports extensions. For example, an event source and an event sink are used for discrete semantic messaging between modules and module extensions, such as stores. Event sinks are specialized ports in that they are communication access points between model components, but with additional semantics, namely the specific events.

The model can also be augmented with wires extensions. For example, an event wire is a logical connection between event sources and event sinks, and carries event messages used to inform modules and implement policy. While most wire extensions allow communication at run time, it is possible for some wire extensions to transfer data only at compile or initialization time.

The model components are arranged and interconnected to form a scale-independent model of the application. Each component specifies some functionality of the application.

Associated with the model components is a schema that dictates how the functional operations represented by the components are to be specified. For example, the schema might dictate that a module specify processing requirements,

software programs needed to implement the behavior, other modules with which the module should communicate, and so forth. The schema might further dictate that a port and a wire specify a set of attributes describing format, semantics, protocol, and so on. The schema might further dictate that extensions of modules, port, and wires specify further attributes.

From the model components, the developers can create logical, scale-independent models of the applications that may be implemented by the distributed computer systems. An application is scale-independent in that it is invariant with respect to the number of computers and software programs that my eventually be used to implement it. The application may subsequently be converted to a physical blueprint that specifies the number and type of hardware/software resources and the physical layout of the distributed computer system.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

- Fig. 1 illustrates a conventional Internet data center (IDC).
- Fig. 2 illustrates a set of model components that form the building blocks for modeling an Internet Service, along with the associated schema.
- Fig. 3 illustrates a database application for an Internet Service that is modeled in terms of the components.
  - Fig. 4 illustrates an Internet-based email Internet Service.
- Fig. 5 is a block diagram of a computer that may be used to implement the modeling software for modeling the Internet Service.
  - Fig. 6 is a flow diagram of a process for modeling an Internet Service.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

A modeling system permits developers of distributed computer applications (e.g., Internet Services, Websites, and the like) to architect their hardware and software in an abstract manner. The modeling system defines a set of components used to describe the functionality of an application in a logical, scale-independent manner. An "application" within this context refers to an entire service hosted on the distributed computers. For instance, an Internet data center may host a Website for an online retailer, where the application entails the entire software and hardware configuration that implements the online retailer's Internet presence. The application might include, for example, a front end to handle client requests, an order processing system, a billing system, an inventory system, and a database system.

The model components are arranged and interconnected to form a scale-independent model of the application. Each component specifies some functionality of the application. The model can then be used to construct a scalable physical blueprint in terms of which machines run which pieces of software to form the application. The model continues to be helpful for managing ongoing operations of the application, forming the skeleton upon which operational behavior and policy mechanisms are built.

In this manner, the modeling system changes the development effort from a node-centric approach to an application-centric approach. With conventional node-centric methodology, the focus was on the computers and how they were laid out. The application was then implemented on as many nodes as needed. With the new application-centric approach, the focus is initially on the application itself.

The physical nodes used to implement the application are derived once the application is created.

The modeling system is described in the context of Internet Services and Websites, such as might be deployed in Internet Data Centers, because modeling Internet Services represents one suitable use of the system. However, the modeling system may be implemented to model other large size and scalable computer systems. Accordingly, the modeling system can be implemented in a wide variety ways, including both Internet-based implementations and non-Internet-based implementations.

#### **Model Components and Schema**

The modeling system defines several model components that form the building blocks of a logical, scale-independent application: a module, a port, and a wire. It also defines a set of model extensions including, but not limited to: a store, an event source, an event sink, and an event wire. In a design tool, the components are represented pictorially as graphical elements or symbols that may be arranged and interconnected to create scale-independent models of Website applications. The graphical elements have an associated schema that dictates how the functional operations being represented by the graphical elements are to be specified.

Fig. 2 illustrates a set of model components 200 that form the building blocks of logical, scale-independent Internet Services. The components include a module, as represented by modules 202(A)-202(C), ports 206, wires 208, and extensions such as a store 204, event sources 210, event sinks 212, and event wires

214. The components 200 are arranged in a no particular manner other than to foster discussion of their individual traits.

A module 202 represents a basic unit of functionality for the Internet Service. It is a logical entity that represents some portion of the application as might be deployed at the IDC, but it does not necessarily have a physical manifestation. The module often corresponds to a software program that handles a logical set of tasks for the Service. For instance, one module might represent a front end for a Website, another module might represent a login database, and another module might represent an electronic mail program.

Each module 202 is a container of behavior. A simple module is indivisible and has associated a unique identifier. Modules can be nested into a hierarchy of modules to form more complex behaviors. In a module hierarchy, the leaf modules are simple modules, and the non-leaf modules are compound modules.

Each module 202 defines a unit of scaling. While one module logically represents a functional operation of the Service, the module may be deployed to any number of computers when actually implemented. In this way, the module is scale-independent, allowing the number of underlying computers used to implement the module to change at over time. When converted to a physical implementation, "module instances" are created from the modules. The module instances are assigned a unique identifier and maintain ancestral data regarding which module created them. The module instances of simple modules are often called "engines", which are software programs that run on individual computers.

A port 206 is a service access point (SAP) for a module 202 or store 204. All service-related communications into and out of a module go through a port 206. Each port 206 has a "type", which is a set of attributes describing format,

semantics, protocol, and so forth. At runtime, the port represents a set of physical ports associated with the instantiated engines of the modules. Note that a given module might have any number of ports representing different services or functionality provided by the module.

A wire 208 is the logical binding that defines an allowable communication route between two ports 206. Each wire 208 can be type-checked (i.e., with respect to protocols, roles) and defines protocol configuration constraints (e.g., HTTP requires TCP, TCP requires IP, etc.).

Extensions to the model are additional components that specialize the role, behavior, and possibly graphical representation of the base components. Exemplary extensions include, but are not limited to, store 204, event source 210, event sink 212, and event wire 214.

A store 204 is the most basic unit of storage. It represents a logical storage partition, which may be implemented by any number of physical disks or other storage media.

Event sources 210 and event sinks 212 are used for discrete semantic messaging between modules and module extensions, such as stores. An event wire 214 is a logical connection between sources and sinks, and carries event messages used to inform modules or module extensions and implement policy (e.g., scaling, fail-over, monitoring, application processes, etc.).

The event sources 210 and event sinks 212, together with the ports 206, collectively form interfaces for communications to and from the modules 202 and module extensions, such as stores 204. The event sources and sinks may be implemented as ports that are configured for message handling.

The model components 200 are depicted as graphical icons or symbols that may be selected and interconnected using a modeling system (described below in more detail). In the illustrated example, the modules 202 are depicted as blocks, the store 204 is depicted as a disk storage icon, and the ports 206 are depicted as spherical knobs projecting from the modules or module extensions, such as stores. Additionally, the wires 208 are depicted as bold lines, the event sources 210 are depicted as triangles pointing away from the module or module extension, the event sinks 212 are depicted as triangles pointing toward the module or module extension, and the event wire 214 is depicted as a dashed line.

The graphical icons have an associated schema that dictates how the functional operations being represented by the icons are to be specified. For instance, a module icon may have a predefined schema that specifies the hardware and software resources used to implement the functionality represented by the module. Thus, a module for a database function might have characteristics pertaining to the kind of database (e.g., relational), the data structure (e.g., tables, relationships), software (e.g., SQL), software version, and so forth.

Fig. 2 also illustrates the schema underlying the graphical elements as exemplary data structures associated with the model components. Module 202(A) has an associated structure 220 that contains various characteristics for the module, such as functionality, processing requirements, software, and so forth. Modules 202(B) and 202(C) have similar structures (not shown). Model extensions also have associated structures. The store 204 has a corresponding structure 222 that defines the requirements for storage. The store schema structure 222 might include, for example, the kind of storage (e.g., disk), the storage format, and so on.

Lee & Hayes, PLLC 1023001643 MSI-626US.PAT.APP.DOC

ı

Each port 206 has a schema structure, as represented by structure 224, which dictates the port's type. Each wire 208 is also associated with a schema structure, such as structure 226, which outlines the protocols implemented by the connection. Similar schema structures may also be provide for event sources, event sinks, and event wires.

Using the model components, a developer can logically describe and configure scale-independent Internet Service prior to physically laying them out in Internet data centers. The developer drafts a model using a user interface to select and interconnect the model components. Once constructed, the modeling software generates the Internet Service based on the depicted model and the underlying schema. The Service may subsequently be converted into a physical blueprint that details the computers and software needed to implement the Service for a specified number of clients.

The scale-invariant nature of the modeling system allows Internet Service developers to focus only on designing software for a specific functional task (e.g., front end, login database, email program, etc.). All external communications can then be expressed in terms of transmitting to and receiving from one or more associated ports. In this manner, the Service developers need not worry about how many machines will be used to run the module, or how other modules of the scale-independent Internet Service are being configured.

### **Exemplary Module and Application**

Fig. 3 shows a fault-tolerant SQL (structure query language) database module 300 to demonstrate how the model components may be organized and connected to represent a portion of an application. In this example, the database

module 300 represents a SQL database that may be used independently or as a component in a larger application. The SQL database module 300 has a module interface composed of a single port 302 that implements the TDS (Tabular Data Stream) protocol.

The SQL database module 300 is a compound module made up of three simple modules: a fail-over policy module 310, a primary SQL module 312, and a secondary SQL module 314. The primary and secondary SQL modules represent dual programs that operate in parallel so that, in the event that the primary module 312 crashes, the secondary module 314 can assume the role without loss of service. The database module 300 also has a data store 316 that represents the memory storage for the SQL database module.

The primary SQL module 312 has a module interface that includes a first port 320 for communicating with the compound module port 302 and a second port 322 for communicating with the store 316. The primary SQL module 312 also has an event source 324 and an event sink 326 for handling event messages from the fail-over policy module 310. Similarly, the secondary SQL module 314 has a module interface with a first port 330 for communicating with the compound module port 302, a second port 332 for communicating with the store 316, and an event sink 334 for receiving events from the fail-over policy module 310. A wire 336 interconnects the external compound module port 302 with the ports 320 and 330 of the primary and secondary SQL modules, respectively.

The store 316 has a port 340 to communicate with the primary and secondary SQL modules 312 and an event sink 342 to receive event messages from the fail-over policy module 310. A wire 344 interconnects the store port 340

with the ports 322 and 332 of the primary and secondary SQL modules, respectively.

The fail-over policy module 310 has a module interface that includes three event sources and one event sink. An event sink 350 receives a "fail" event from the event source 324 of the primary SQL module 312 via an event wire 352 when the primary SQL module experiences some failure. In response to receiving a "fail" event, the fail-over policy module 310 concurrently issues a first event to stop the failed primary module 312, another event to assign the secondary module 314 as the new owner of the store 316, and a third event to start the secondary module 314. The "stop" event is issued via an event source 354 over an event wire 356 to the event sink 326 of the primary SQL module 312. The "stop" event directs the primary SQL module 312 to halt operation.

The fail-over policy module 310 issues an "assign owner" (AO) event from an event source 358, over the event wire 360 to the event sink 342 of the store 316. The assign owner event directs the storage mechanisms to switch to allowing access by the secondary SQL module 314, rather than the primary SQL module 312. The fail-over policy module 310 also issues a "start" event from event source 362 over event wire 364 to the event sink 334 of the secondary module 314. The start event directs the secondary SQL module to start operation in place of the primary SQL module.

The SQL database module 300 illustrates how the base model components and exemplary model extensions—modules, ports, wires, stores, event sources, event sinks, and event wires—may be arranged and interconnected to form a complex module. The developer specifies the characteristics associated with each component according to the prescribed schema. The complex module may in turn

be added to other simple or complex modules to form other complex modules. Eventually, the largest complex module becomes the Internet Service, which may then be used to form a blueprint for deploying to the data center.

Fig. 4 shows a simplified application 400 for an online retailer. The application 400 includes a front end module 402, a catalog module 404, an order processing module 406, and a fulfillment module 408. The application 400 also includes a customer database 410 and the fault-tolerant SQL database module 300. Notice that the SQL database module 300 is the same as that shown in Fig. 3 to illustrate how complex modules can be nested into even greater complex modules to form an application.

The front end module 402 handles requests from clients who wish to shop with the online retailer. The front end module 402 has a port 420 that accommodates communications with external clients using the TCP/IP protocol over the Internet. The front end module 402 also has an order port 422 to define a communication exchange with the order processing module 406 and a catalog port 424 for communication flow to the catalog module 404. The ports 422 and 424 may be configured according to any of a variety of types, which support any of a number of protocols including SOAP, TCP, or UDP. An event sink 426 is also provided to receive a "new product" message from the catalog module 404 when a new product has been added to the catalog.

The catalog module 404 provides catalog information that may be served by the front end to the requesting clients. The catalog module 404 has a front end port 430 connected via a wire 432 to the catalog port 424 of the front end module 402. The front end port 430 has a type that matches the catalog port 424. The catalog module 404 also has an event source 434 for communicating the "new

product" messages over wire 436 to the event sink 426 of the front end module 402.

A SQL port 438 interfaces the catalog module 404 with the SQL database module 300. The SQL port 438 has a type that utilizes the TDS protocol for the communication exchange with the external port 302 of the SQL database 300.

The order processing module 406 has a front end port 440 to define a communication interface with the front end module 402 via a wire 442. The order processing module 406 also has a fulfillment port 444 to facilitate communication with the fulfillment module 408 over wire 446 and a database port 448 to facilitate communication with the customer database 410 via wire 450.

An event source 452 is provided at the order processing module 406 to pass "order complete" events to the fulfillment module 408 via wire 454. These events inform the fulfillment module 408 that an order is complete and ready to be filled. A second event source 456 passes "new account" events to the customer database 410 via wire 458 whenever a new customer orders a product.

The fulfillment module 408 has an order port 460 to provide access to the wire 446 to the order processing module 406 and a database port 462 to interface with the customer database 410. The fulfillment module 408 also has an event sink 464 to receive the "order complete" events from the order processing module 406.

The customer database 410 has an order port 470 to provide access to wire 450 and a fulfillment port 472 to facilitate communication with the fulfillment module 408 via wire 474. The customer database 410 further has an event sink 476 to receive the "new account" events from the order processing module 406.

The modeling approach illustrated in Figs 3 and 4 is tremendously beneficial because it allows developers and IDC operators to view the entire Internet Service in terms of functional pieces independent of deployment scale. The online retailer Internet Service 400, for example, requires a front end unit, a catalog unit, an order processing unit, and a fulfillment unit regardless of whether the retailer is handling 100 hits a day or 10 million hits per day.

The scale-independent nature frees the developer to focus on his/her little piece of the Service. For instance, a developer assigned the task of building the front end module 402 need only be concerned with writing software code to facilitate response/reply exchanges. Any communication to and from the module is defined in terms of order-related data being passed to the order processing module 406 via the order port 422 and product data being received from the catalog module 404 via the catalog port 424. The developer defines the data flow to and from the order port 422 and the catalog port 424 according to their respective associated protocol types.

The Internet Service 400 can then be used to construct a computer system that hosts the online retailer. Initially, the online retailer may not receive very much traffic, especially if launched away from the Christmas season. So, perhaps the front end module 402 deploys initially to only a few computers to handle the light traffic from the Internet. But, suppose that over time the site becomes more popular and the Christmas season is fast approaching. In this situation, the online retailer may authorize the IDC operator to add many more computers for the front end tasks. These computers are equipped with software and configured to accept HTTP requests for product information and to serve web pages containing the

product information. The computers are added (or removed) as needed, without altering the basic description of the Internet Service 400.

### Computer-Based Modeling System and Method

Fig. 5 shows an exemplary computer system 500 that implements modeling software used to design Internet Services. The modeling computer may be implemented as one of the nodes in a Internet Service, or as a separate computer not included as one of the nodes. The modeling computer has a processor 502, volatile memory 504 (e.g., RAM), and non-volatile memory 506 (e.g., ROM, Flash, hard disk, optical, RAID memory, etc.). The modeling computer 500 runs an operating system 510 and modeling system 512.

For purposes of illustration, operating system 510 and modeling system 512 are illustrated as discrete blocks stored in the non-volatile memory 506, although it is recognized that such programs and components reside at various times in different storage components of the computer 500 and are executed by the processor 502. Generally, these software components are stored in non-volatile memory 506 and from there, are loaded at least partially into the volatile main memory 504 for execution on the processor 502.

The modeling system 512 includes a user interface 514 (e.g., a graphical UI) that presents the pictorial icons of the model components 516 (e.g., modules, ports, sources, sinks, etc.), a component schema database 518, a logical-to-physical converter 520, and an instance-tracking database 522. The modeling system 512 allows a developer to design an Internet Service by defining modules, ports, wires, and event message schemes. The user interface 514 presents symbols of the components 516, such as the symbols shown in Figs 2-4, and permits the

Lee & Hayes, PLLC 1023001643 MSI-626US.PAT.APP.DOC

developer to arrange and interconnect them. The UI 514 may even support conventional UI techniques as drag-and-drop operations.

The symbols depicted on the screen represent an underlying schema 518 that is used to define the model. For instance, a block-like module symbol is associated with the characteristics of the functionality that the module is to represent in the Internet Service. Thus, the developer may define a database module that has characteristics pertaining to the kind of database (e.g., relational), the data structure (e.g., tables, relationships), software (e.g., SQL), software version, and so forth. Accordingly, by drafting the model on the UI, the developer is architecting the entire schema that will be used to design the scale-independent Internet Service.

Once the Internet Service is created, the logical-to-physical converter 520 converts the Service to a physical blueprint that details the number of computers, software components, physical ports, and so forth. The converter takes various parameters—such as how many site visitors are expected, memory requirements, bandwidth requirements, processing capabilities, and the like—and scales the Internet Service according to the schema 518 created by the developer. The converter 520 specifies the number of computers needed to implement each module, the number of disks to accommodate the stores, and the types of communications protocols among the modules and stores. The identity of every component instance is recorded in an instance-tracking database 522. Instances in the instance-tracking database 522 include those for modules, port, wires, and instances of model extensions such as stores, event ports, and event wires.

In one embodiment, the developer writes management policy, which issues commands on the schema 518 to create new instances of modules, port, and wires

to deploy the Internet Service. Developers may choose to write management policy instead of using fully automatic logical-to-physical converter 520 when they want finer control over the growth and management of the Internet Service. The management code issues commands using the namespace defined by the schema 518, but the commands operate on individual module, port, and wire instances. The commands are still dispatched through the converter 520, which allocates nodes to the management policy. Whether operating automatically or driven by management policy code, the convert 520 records in the instancetracking database 522 the individual instances of modules, port, and wires.

In this manner, the modeling system changes the development effort from a node-centric approach for architecting Internet Services to an application-centric Within conventional node-centric methodology, the focus was on the approach. computers and how they were laid out. The Internet Services was then loaded onto the nodes in an ad hoc manner. With the new application-centric approach, the focus is initially on the Internet Service itself. The physical nodes used to implement the Internet Service are derived in terms of the Service schema once it is specified. The instance-tracking database 522 gives both developers and operators information about how many instances of each module are running at any time and how the modules are connected using port instances and wires instances within the Service schema.

Fig. 6 shows a method for modeling a scale-independent Internet Service. The method 600 may be implemented, for example, by the modeling system 512 executing on the modeling computer 500. In such an implementation, the method is implemented in software that, when executed on computer 500, performs the operations illustrated as blocks in Fig. 6.

19 Lee & Hayes, PLLC 1023001643 MS1-626US.PAT.APP.DOC

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At block 602, the modeling system 512 allows the developer to define the modules and extensions, such as stores, that form the functional elements of the Internet Service. The UI 514 enables the developer to create modules and extensions, such as stores, and to define their characteristics as prescribed by a predetermined schema. This entry process begins to construct the logical building blocks of the Service.

At block 604, the modeling system 512 enables the developer to define the ports for the modules and module extensions, such as stores. The developer selects the type of ports. The modeling system ensures compatibility of ports that are connected to one another. At block 606, the developer also defines other extensions, such as events that may be passed among modules and module extensions, such as stores. For example, the developer creates event sources and event sinks to accommodate the various events. At block 608, the developer uses the modeling system 512 to interconnect the ports with wires and the port extensions, such as event sources/sinks with wire extensions, such as event wires. By joining the various modules and module extensions, such as stores, the developer effectively forms a logical representation of the Internet Service.

At block 610, the modeling system 512 generates an Internet Service using the graphical representation constructed by the developer through its associated schema. The modeling system 512 generates the logical specifications associated with the graphical model, including the characteristics of the modules and module extensions, such as stores, as well as the types of the ports and port extensions, such as event sources/sinks. The Internet Service provides a complete logical representation of the Service that will eventually be implemented at the Internet

data center. The Internet Service description may be stored on disk or some other form of computer-readable medium (block 612).

At block 614, the modeling system 512 converts the Internet Service description to a physical blueprint that specifies the computers, the software run by each of the computers, and the interconnections among the computers. This physical blueprint may be used by the operator to install and manage the Internet Service.

#### **Conclusion**

Although the description above uses language that is specific to structural features and/or methodological acts, it is to be understood that the invention defined in the appended claims is not limited to the specific features or acts described. Rather, the specific features and acts are disclosed as exemplary forms of implementing the invention.